

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	Philip G. Reinhard	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	00 CR 50057	DATE	3/19/2002
CASE TITLE	U.S.A. vs. STRANG		

[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]

MOTION:

--

DOCKET ENTRY:

- (1) ☐ Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]
- (2) ☐ Brief in support of motion due _____.
- (3) ☐ Answer brief to motion due _____. Reply to answer brief due _____.
- (4) ☐ Ruling/Hearing on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (5) ☐ Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (6) ☐ Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (7) ☐ Trial[set for/re-set for] on _____ at _____.
- (8) ☐ [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to _____ at _____.
- (9) ☐ This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]
☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ General Rule 21 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).
- (10) ☒ [Other docket entry] For the reasons stated on the reverse Memorandum Opinion and Order, defendant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is denied as no § 2255 motion is pending. Motion for free transcript denied.

Philip G. Reinhard

- (11) ☒ [For further detail see order on the reverse side of the original minute order.]

No notices required, advised in open court.		number of notices	Document Number 67
No notices required.		MAR 19 2002 date docketed	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notices mailed by judge's staff.		<i>[Signature]</i> docketing deputy initials	
Notified counsel by telephone.		3-19-02 date mailed notice	
Docketing to mail notices.		<i>[Signature]</i> mailing deputy initials	
Mail AO 450 form.			
Copy to judge/magistrate judge.			
/SEC	courtroom deputy's initials	Date/time received in central Clerk's Office	

ORDER

Harold Strang, a federal prisoner in custody pursuant to his conviction and sentence for a violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846, has filed pro se a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis stating he has constitutional issues to bring in a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, but has not specified those issues. Instead, he asks for a transcript of the proceedings so that he may determine such issues to present. Transcripts are not yet prepared, and Strang has not demonstrated that any potential § 2255 motion is not frivolous and that the transcript is needed to decide any constitutional issue in order for this court to certify a free transcript is necessary pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 753. See United States v. MacCollom, 426 U.S. 317 (1976).